





# Contents

Contents .....	2
Introduction.....	3
Limitations .....	3
Alternative methodology and results by mode.....	5
Methodology notes.....	12

**3** Modelling the impact of active travel school interventions in Scotland





Figure 1a: Active travel forecast, primary methodology




Figure 1b: Active travel forecast, alternative methodology








Figure 2a: Walking & scooting forecast, primary methodology






Figure 2b: Walking & scooting forecast, alternative methodology







**Figure 3b: Cycling forecast, alternative methodology**



# Methodology notes

The following methodology notes and assumptions should be noted:

The dataset used for the analysis does not include information on schools in Clackmannanshire, as this was not available at the time of the analysis.

HUSS results<sup>3</sup>, and they relate to all primary schools that have participated in HUSS in a particular year. This group of schools is slightly different from the group that have been used to calculate the rate of *year-on-year change* for the purposes of modelling Scenarios A, B and C. These change rates could only be calculated from schools that have participated in HUSS *two years in a row* (additionally, these calculations also exclude Clackmannanshire, as above, while the

This difference in the groups

